

## Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 USUN N 04080 080015Z

67

ACTION IO-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 AF-06 ARA-10 EA-09 NEA-10

CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04 H-02 INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05

PA-02 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-15 /114 W

----- 003567

R 072326Z SEP 75

FM USMISSION USUN NY

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 1817

INFO USMISSION OECD PARIS

USMISSION GENEVA

USDEL MTN GENEVA UNN

USMISSION USEC BRUSSELS

UNCLAS USUN 4080

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: EGEN UNGA

SUBJ: SEVENTH SPECIAL SESSION: WEO/G-77 CONTACT GROUP

REF: USUN 4069

1. SUMMARY: THE WEO/G-77 CONTACT GROUP CONTINUED THE FIRST READING OF THE POSITION PAPERS OF THE EC-9, US., AND G-77 AT AN EVENING SESSION ON SEPTEMBER 5. THIS SESSION DEALT WITH SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, INDUSTRIALIZATION, AND STRUCTURAL REFORM. THE US. DELEGATION TOOK AN ACTIVE PART IN THE CONTACT GROUP, EXPLAINING THE RECENTLY TABLED US. PROPOSALS AND STIMULATING PRELIMINARY REACTIONS TO THEM. THE CONTACT GROUP DECIDED TO ESTABLISH "CONSULTATION" GROUPS CHARGED WITH DRAFTING RESOLUTION LANGUAGE ON THE THREE AGENDA ITEMS. THE IDEA OF SETTING UP CONSULTATION GROUPS WAS NOT IMPLEMENTED--AS REPORTED IN USUN 4069 THE WEO/G-77 CONTACT GROUP AT A SUBSEQUENT MEETING DECIDED TO SET UP ONLY TWO NEGOTIATING GROUPS. END SUMMARY.

2. THE SEPTEMBER 5 EVENING SESSION OF THE WEO/G-77 CONTACT GROUP WAS DEVOTED TO THE FIRST REVIEW OF THE EC-9, US., AND G-77 POSITIONS ON SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, INDUSTRIALIZATION, AND STRUCTURAL REFORM TOPICS. THE US. LED OFF THE

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 USUN N 04080 080015Z

TION, AND STRUCTURAL REFORM TOPICS. THE US. LED OFF THE

DISCUSSION BY INSISTING THAT THE FIRST REVIEW SHOULD NOT BE CONFINED TO A LINE-BY-LINE REVIEW OF THE CURRENT G-77 DRAFT, BUT RATHER SHOULD REVIEW THE PROPOSALS MADE BY ALL COUNTRIES. THE US. STATEMENT WAS GENERALLY ENDORSED AND THUS FORESTALLED AN APPARENT EFFORT BY THE CHAIRMAN TO LIMIT THE DISCUSSION TO THE G-77 PROPOSALS.

3. ON SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, THE G-77 SPOKESMAN (EGYPT) STRESSED THAT THE CURRENT US. PROPOSALS HAD NOT YET BEEN SUBJECTED TO A G-77 REVIEW AND, THUS, THE G-77 REACTIONS COULD ONLY BE PRELIMINARY. HE STATED THAT IT APPEARED THAT MANY OF THE US. PROPOSALS WOULD SEEM TO BE MORE APPROPRIATE FOR ALTER CONSIDERATION AT THE FORTHCOMING CONFERENCE ON SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY. THEN HE NOTED THAT THE CORNERSTONE OF THE G-77 PROPOSALS WAS THE PARAGRAPH CALLING FOR THE ADOPTION OF A LEGALLY BINDING CODE OF CONDUCT ON THE TRANSFER OF TECHNOLOGY AND ON THE NEED FOR A REVISION OF THE PATENT SYSTEM.

4. THE US., EC-9, JAPAN, CANADA, NORWAY, AND SWEDEN ALL STRESSED THE UNACCEPTABILITY OF LANGUAGE REFERRING TO A LEGALLY BINDING CODE AND ALSO CALLED FOR USE OF LANGUAGE ON A POSSIBLE REVISION OF THE PATENT SYSTEM WHICH WOULD PROJUDICE WIPO'S CURRENT WORK BEING CARRIED OUT IN CONJUNCTION WITH UNCTAD. THERE WAS GENERAL, IF CAUTIOUS, ENDORSEMENT OF THE INFORMATION BANK PROPOSALS OF THE G-77, WITH CANADA NOTING THAT THIS PROPOSAL WOULD REQUIRE FURTHER IN-DEPTH STUDY. THE APPROPRIATENESS OF CALLING FOR TARGETS FOR RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT IN DEVELOPED COUNTRIES FOR THE BENEFIT OF LDC'S WAS QUESTIONED BY JAPAN AND CANADA. THE GENERAL OPINION OF THE WEO'S SEEMED TO BE THAT LANGUAGE OF PARA 5 OF G-77 PAPER TO THE EFFECT THAT GOVERNMENTAL-OWNED TECHNOLOGIES SHOULD BE TRANSFERRED FREE WAS FAR TOO SWEEPING. THE US. STRESSED THE NEED FOR AN ENVIRONMENT FOR THE TRANSFER OF TECHNOLOGY BASED ON MUTUAL TRUST AND RESULTING IN MUTUAL BENEFIT FOR BOTH SUPPLIERS AND RECIPIENTS OF TECHNOLOGY. WE JOINED IN EXPRESSING CONCERN OVER THE G-77 LANGUAGE ON A LEGALLY BINDING CODE AND ON THE REVISION OF THE PATENT SYSTEM.

5. THE DISCUSSION CLOSED WITH THE G-77 SPOKESMAN REITERATING THE G-77 POINTS ON TARGETS, THE LEGALLY BINDING NATURE OF THE CODE OF CONDUCT, AND THE NEED TO REVISE THE PAT

4  
QXUYCXZ677I!NMU+!FD\_9'J#?E\_:P!'.6\_0 #QR

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** MEETING AGENDA, POLICIES, PAPERS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 07 SEP 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** n/a  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** n/a  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:**  
**Disposition Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975USUNN04080  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D750309-0644  
**From:** USUN NEW YORK  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t19750975/aaaacofj.tel  
**Line Count:** 94  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION IO  
**Original Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 2  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** 75 USUN NEW YORK 4069  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** RowellE0  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:** ANOMALY  
**Review Date:** 28 MAR 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <28 MAR 2003 by MaustMC>; APPROVED <22 JAN 2004 by RowellE0>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
06 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** SEVENTH SPECIAL SESSION: WEO/G-77 CONTACT GROUP  
**TAGS:** EGEN, UNGA, GROUP OF 77  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006